|    | Case 1:20-cv-01764-KJM-SAB  | Document 50        | Filed 02/14/25     | Page 1 of 2          |  |
|----|---|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--|
| 1  |   |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 2  |   |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 3  |   |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 4  |   |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 5  |   |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 6  |   |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 7  |   |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 9  | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 10 |   |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 11 | Daniel Libraty,   |                    | No. 1:20-cv-01764  | I-KJM-SAB            |  |
| 12 | Plaintiff,  |                    | ORDER              |                      |  |
| 13 | v.  |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 14 | Denis McDonough, et al.,  |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 15 | Defendar  | nts.               |                    |                      |  |
| 16 |   |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 17 | Having reviewed the briefs and record in support of an opposition to the pending motions          |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 18 | for summary judgment at ECF Nos. 30, 31 and 32, the court finds oral arguments would be           |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 19 | helpful in resolving those pending motions.   |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 20 | The court strongly prefers in-person oral argument for civil law and motion practice;             |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 21 | attorneys appearing in person are often better prepared, more professional and answer the court's |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 22 | questions more thoroughly without talking over others. Although virtual appearances are           |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 23 | discouraged, the court will consider requests for virtual appearance if supported by a verified   |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 24 | showing of hardship, such as health concerns or an unreasonable increase in litigation costs.     |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 25 | There will be no hybrid appearances; all persons appearing in a single case must appear by the    |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 26 | same format.  |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 27 | In addition, because this matter is assigned to this District's Fresno Courthouse, the court      |                    |                    |                      |  |
| 28 | will consider a request for an in-per   | rson hearing in th | e Fresno Courthous | e based on a similar |  |
|    |   |                    |                    |                      |  |

|   | Case 1:20-cv-01764-KJM-SAB Document 50 Filed 02/14/25 Page 2 of 2                                |
|---|--|
| 1 | verified showing of hardship. Any request for a remote hearing or hearing in the Fresno          |
| 2 | Courthouse must be filed within seven days, after which the court will set oral arguments on the |
| 3 | pending motions.   |
| 4 | IT IS SO ORDERED.  |
| 5 | DATED: February 13, 2025.  |
| 6 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE   |
|   | ONTIED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE   |
|   |  |
|   |  |